

Standard filtrations & Serre's bimodules

Outline

- Standard bimodules
- Standard filtrations and Serre's bimodules
- Localisation

① Standard bimodules

- We stay in the usual setting:
 - fix Coxeter system (W, S) reflection faithful [LMTW §5.6]
 - let $R = \text{Sym}(V)$ where V is geom. rep of W i.e. $R = R[\alpha_s : s \in S]$
 - w/ refl. action of W , $s(\alpha_s) = \alpha_s + 2 \cos(\frac{\pi}{m_s}) \alpha_s$

Def Standard bimodules R_w as sets are just R .

As R -bimodules, has left action by multiplication in R and twisted right action

$$r \cdot x = r w(x)$$

where $r \in R_w$, $w \in W$, $x \in R$.

It is clear that for $v, w \in W$

$$R_v \otimes R_w \simeq R_{vw}$$

We also consider grading shifts and define

$$\text{Hom}^*(M, N) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}(M, N(i))$$

which is the set of morphisms of all degrees

PROP For $v, w \in W$, $\text{Hom}^*(R_v, R_w) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{if } w=v \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$

Proof

R_v is gen by 1 as an R -bimodule (left action is enough).

So any $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(R_v, R_w)$ is determined by $\varphi(1) =: c \in R$.

We have

$$c \cdot w(r) = c \cdot v \cdot r = \varphi(1) \cdot v \cdot r = \varphi(1 \cdot v) = \varphi(v(r) \cdot 1) = v(r) \cdot \varphi(1) = v(r) \cdot c$$

R is a polynomial ring over $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow R$ is an integral domain

so $w(r) \cdot c = v(r) \cdot c \Rightarrow$ either $w(r) = v(r)$ for all $r \in R$ or $c = 0$.

Since W acts faithfully, the first is the same as $w=v$.

In which case we have a bijection

$$\varphi \in \text{Hom}^*(R_v, R_w) \longleftrightarrow c \in R.$$

□

let $\text{StdBim} \hookrightarrow R\text{-gBim}$ be the full subcategory gen. by $\{R_w : w \in W\}$

closed under $\oplus$ and grading shifts. This is automatically

• monoidal

• closed under direct summands

- bc. we only need to look at R_w and it has idempotents in

$$\text{Hom}^*(R_w, R_w) \simeq R = R[\alpha_s : s \in S]$$

which are idempotents of $\mathbb{R}$, which is only the identity map.

- (though not semisimple eg. Schur's lemma does not hold)

The split Grothendieck group is $[\text{StdBim}]_{\oplus} = \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}][W]$

the group algebra.

There is essentially nothing interesting here

Compare $\text{SBim} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{H}$ Hecke algebra

$\uparrow$ deformation

$\text{StdBim} \rightsquigarrow \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}][W]$ group algebra

② Standard filtrations and Soergel bimodules

Recap on Soergel bimodules

• let $B_s := R \otimes_{R^s} R(1)$ for $s \in S$

$$BS(w) := B_{s_1} \otimes \dots \otimes B_{s_n} \quad \text{for } w = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \\ \cong R \otimes_{R^1} \dots \otimes_{R^n} R(n)$$

• A Soergel bimodule is a direct summand of some $BS(w)$

let $C_{id} = 1 \otimes 1$ (degree -1), $C_s = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha_s)$ (degree 1) be elements in B_s .

FACT (cmw, Exercise 4.28) For any $f \in R$,

$$f \cdot C_{id} = C_{id} \cdot sf + C_s \cdot \partial_s(f) \quad \text{and} \quad f \cdot C_s = C_s \cdot f$$

Also $\{C_{id}, C_s\}$ is a basis for B_s as a left or right R -module.

PROOF

• If $f \in R^s$, then $f \cdot C_{id} = C_{id} \cdot f + C_s \cdot \underbrace{\partial_s(f)}_0$

and $f \cdot C_s = C_s \cdot f$ trivially.

• If $f = \alpha_s$, then

$$C_{id} \cdot f + C_s \cdot \partial_s(f) = -1 \otimes \alpha_s + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_s \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \alpha_s) \cdot 2 \\ = \alpha_s \otimes 1 \\ = f \cdot C_{id}$$

$$\text{and } f \cdot C_s - C_s \cdot f = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_s \otimes 1 + \alpha_s \otimes \alpha_s - \alpha_s \otimes \alpha_s - 1 \otimes \alpha_s^2) \\ = 0. \quad \begin{matrix} g = \partial_s(f \frac{\alpha_s}{2}) \\ h = \frac{1}{2} \partial_s(f) \end{matrix}$$

• For general $f \in R$, we can write $f = g + h\alpha_s$ for $g, h \in R^s$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } C_{id} \cdot f + C_s \cdot \partial_s(f) &= C_{id} \cdot (g + h\alpha_s) + C_s \cdot g + C_s \cdot h \partial_s(\alpha_s) \\ &= g \cdot C_{id} + h(C_{id} \cdot \alpha_s + C_s \cdot \partial_s(\alpha_s)) \\ &= (g + h\alpha_s) \cdot C_{id} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{and } f \cdot C_s = (g + h\alpha_s) \cdot C_s = C_s \cdot (g + h\alpha_s) = C_s \cdot f.$$

• Now, the first equality shows $\{C_{id}, C_s\}$ is basis of B_s as right R -module.

Putting $sf(f)$ & rearranging we get

$$\begin{aligned} C_{id} \cdot f &= sf(f) \cdot C_{id} - C_s \cdot \partial_s(sf(f)) \\ &= sf(f) \cdot C_{id} + \partial_s(f) \cdot C_s \end{aligned}$$

so we have $\{C_{id}, C_s\}$ is basis for B_s as left R -module. □

By " $f \cdot C_s = C_s \cdot f$ ", C_s generates a copy of $R \cong \{f \cdot C_s : f \in R\}$ inside B_s .

ie. $R(-1) \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto C_s} B_s$ (diagram in $\begin{matrix} B_s \\ \uparrow \\ R \end{matrix}$)

coming from the Frobenius alg. structure.

The cokernel is isomorphic to $R_s(1)$ with the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_s : B_s &\longrightarrow R_s(1) \\ f \otimes g &\longmapsto f \cdot sg \end{aligned}$$

(see this by $B_s / \langle C_s \rangle$ has $f \cdot C_{id} = C_{id} \cdot sf$ generated by C_{id})

So we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R(-1) \xrightarrow[1]{1 \mapsto C_s} B_s \xrightarrow{C_{id} \mapsto 1} R_s(1) \longrightarrow 0 \quad (\nabla)$$

FACT (EMTW, Exercise 5.6)

Let $d_s = \frac{1}{2}(d_s \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes d_s)$. Then

$$f \cdot \text{cid} = \text{cid} \cdot f + d_s \cdot \partial_s(f), \quad f \cdot d_s = d_s \cdot s(f)$$

and $\{\text{cid}, d_s\}$ is a basis for B_s as a left (or right) R -module.

Proved similarly to $\{c, u, c_s\}$.

by $f \cdot d_s = d_s \cdot s(f)$, d_s generates a copy of $B_s(-1)$ inside B_s

$$R_s \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto d_s} B_s \quad (\text{diagram in } \begin{matrix} B_s \\ \uparrow \\ R_s \end{matrix})$$

this has a cokernel in. to $R(1)$ by the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_{\text{id}}: B_s & \longrightarrow & R(1) \\ f \otimes g & \xrightarrow{1} & fg \\ \text{cid} & \xrightarrow{1} & 1 \end{array}$$

(because in $B_s / \langle d_s \rangle$, $f \cdot \text{cid} = \text{cid} \cdot f$)

So we get a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_s(-1) \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto d_s} B_s \xrightarrow{\text{cid} \mapsto 1} R(1) \rightarrow 0 \quad (\Delta)$$

Notice B_s is filtered by R and R_s is no particular order, and grading shift appearing depends on order.

We can extend to say any $BS(w)$ has a filtration by standards

eg. $w = (s, s)$

$$(A \otimes B_s) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_s B_s(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} B_s B_s \rightarrow R B_s(1) \rightarrow 0$$

$$(R_s(-1) \otimes A) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_s R_s(-2) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_s B_s(-1) \xrightarrow{\psi} R_s R \rightarrow 0$$

$$(R(-1) \otimes A) \quad 0 \rightarrow R R_s \xrightarrow{\psi} R B_s(1) \xrightarrow{\psi} R R(2) \rightarrow 0$$

Gives filtration

$$0 \subset R(-2) \subset R_s B_s(-1) \subset \psi^{-1}(R R_s) \subset B_s B_s \subset R R(2)$$

Hence any direct summand of $BS(w)$ has such a filtration.

- The subquotients look like tensor of some $R(i)$ and some $R_s(-1)$
- note this corresponds to subexpressions $e \in w$ where choosing $R(1) \leftrightarrow e_1 = 0$
 $R_s(-1) \leftrightarrow e_1 = 1$

Since R_w is indecomposable, every Soergel Bimodule has a filtration by standards

However, there are many ways to build this filtration, also can use Δ, ∇ or a mix, also gradings appearing may change depending on how we build it, also the order of subquotients may not respect the Bruhat order.

NOTE If we tensor by free left or right R -module then it is exact (since free $\Rightarrow$ projective)

Also these $BS(w)$ are all free or left or right R -modules

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \subset R(-2) \subset R_s B_s(-1) & \hookrightarrow & B_s B_s & \xrightarrow{\psi} & R B_s(1) \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \psi^{-1}(R R_s) & \rightarrow & \downarrow \psi^{-1}(R R_s) \\ & & \psi^{-1}(0) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array} \right) \rightsquigarrow \begin{array}{ccc} 1 \otimes 1 \otimes f & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & 1 \otimes f \\ \psi^{-1}(R R_s) = \langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \otimes 0 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d_s \\ 1 \otimes 0 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d_s \end{smallmatrix} \rangle & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & \langle \begin{smallmatrix} 1 \otimes 0 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d_s \\ 1 \otimes 0 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes d_s \end{smallmatrix} \rangle \\ & & = \langle 1 \otimes d_s, c_s \otimes 1 \rangle \end{array}$$

PROP In an abelian category let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi: A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A' & & B' \end{array}$$

Then $\psi^{-1}(B) / \psi^{-1}(B') \cong B / B'$.

PROOF We have this diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B' & \hookrightarrow & B \xrightarrow{\psi} B/B' \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi \\ \psi(B') & \hookrightarrow & A \xrightarrow{\psi} B/B' \end{array}$$

where the left square is a pullback square. By stacks lemma 12.5.13 (OSN+) this is also pushout square. By stacks lemma 12.5.12 (OSN3), ψ is an iso.

Fix an enumeration of W , $x_0, x_1, \dots$ such that $x_i \leq x_j$ in Bruhat order $\Rightarrow [s]$.

eg for A_2 , $1 < s < t < st < ts < sts$

which refines the Bruhat order.

The problems above can be fixed by the deep result of Berger

THM (Soergel) For such a fixed enumeration of W ,

any Soergel bim B has a unique filtration $0 = B^k \subset B^{k-1} \subset \dots \subset B^0 = B$ st.

$$B^i / B^{i+1} \cong R_{x_i}^{\oplus h_{x_i}} \quad \leftarrow \text{subquotients appear in decreasing order}$$

where $h_{x_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$. (called a Δ -filtration)

Further, for any $x \in W$, the graded multiplicity h_x depends only on B and x (not on choice of enum of W)

eg B_s has Δ -filtration

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \subset & R_s(v) \subset B \\ & \searrow & \downarrow R(1) \\ & & R^{(1)} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow R^{(2)} \\ & & R^{(2)} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow R^{(3)} \\ & & R^{(3)} \end{array}$$

DEF The Δ -character of Soergel bimodule B is

$$ch_{\Delta}(B) := \sum_{x \in W} v^{ell(x)} h_x(B) \delta_x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eg } ch_{\Delta}(B_s) &= v^{ell(s)} v^{-1} \delta_s + v^{ell(1)} v \delta_{id} \\ &= v + \delta_s \end{aligned}$$

$\nwarrow$ call gr. mult. h_{x_i}'

We can define also ∇ -filtrations w/ subquotients increasing in order and the same result holds.

DEF The ∇ -character of Soergel bimodule B is

$$ch_{\nabla}(B) := \sum_{x \in W} v^{ell(x)} h_{x_i}'(B) \delta_x \in \mathcal{H}$$

FACTS

- $ch_{\Delta}(B \oplus B') = ch_{\Delta} B + ch_{\Delta} B'$, $ch_{\nabla}(B \oplus B') = ch_{\nabla} B + ch_{\nabla} B'$
- $ch_{\Delta}(B(1)) = v \cdot ch_{\Delta} B$, $ch_{\nabla}(B(1)) = v^{-1} \cdot ch_{\nabla} B$

$$\rightsquigarrow ch_{\Delta}, ch_{\nabla} : [SBim]_{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

but only ch_{Δ} is $\mathbb{Z}[v^{\pm 1}]$ -linear, so define $ch := ch_{\Delta}$.

THM (Soergel categorification thm)

$$\textcircled{1} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Indecomps of } SBim & \xleftarrow{h} & W \\ & B_W & \longleftrightarrow w \end{array} \right.$$

$$\textcircled{2} ch : [SBim]_{\oplus} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \text{ is an isomorphism}$$

THM (Soergel's conjecture) For $x \in W$,

$$ch(B_x) = b_x \text{ and hence KL poly } h_{x,y} = h_x(B_y)$$

NOTE "having a Δ -filtration" is closed under $\otimes$ and $\oplus$

③ Localisation

Let $\mathbb{Q} := \text{Frac}(\mathbb{R})$ fraction field. We don't consider this as graded.

$$\text{Let } BS(w)_{\mathbb{Q}} := BS(w) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

$$\text{PROP } BS(w)_{\mathbb{Q}} = (K_{\mathbb{R}^1} \otimes K_{\mathbb{R}^2} \otimes \dots \otimes K_{\mathbb{R}^n}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^1} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^2} \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{Q}$$

Proof

$$\text{First we show } B_s \otimes \mathbb{Q} = K_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}.$$

- Clearly $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}$, so we show this is surjective.
- Enough to show we can get $\frac{1}{f} \otimes 1$ for any $f \neq 0$.

$$\text{But } \frac{1}{f} \otimes 1 = \frac{f(f)}{f \cdot f} \otimes 1 = f(f) \otimes \frac{1}{f \cdot f} \in \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}$$

By induction the result holds.

□

- Similarly $\mathbb{R}_s \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}_s$ for standard bimodules

Note

- We see changing scalars from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{Q}$ lands in $\mathbb{Q}$ -bim
- If M is free $\mathbb{R}$ -module then $M \hookrightarrow M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}$ since M is free (each $\mathbb{R}$ includes into $\mathbb{Q}$)
- We know $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}')$ is free $\mathbb{R}$ -module for $\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}' \in \text{SBim}$, so $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}') \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B}') \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{Q}$

i.e. localisation is faithful functor!

- $\mathbb{Q}$ is flat (a field) so $- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is exact on $\mathbb{R}$ -modules

so we have seq

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} (\Delta \otimes \mathbb{Q}) & 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_s & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto d_s} & B_{s, \mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \mapsto 1} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & & \uparrow \text{curved arrow} & \\ & & & & & \frac{1}{d_s} \circ s \leftarrow 1 & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{1 \mapsto G} & B_{s, \mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \mapsto 1} \mathbb{Q}_s \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \uparrow \text{curved arrow} & \\ & & & & \frac{1}{d_s} d_s \leftarrow 1 & \end{array}$$

which split. So $B_{s, \mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_s$.

Therefore every Soergel bimodule over $\mathbb{Q}$ splits into standards

Particularly

$$BS(w)_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{s \in w} \mathbb{Q}_{w, s}$$

$$\text{We have } \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_v, \mathbb{Q}_w) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } v=w \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

so it is easy to check isomorphism. We can check non-isomorphism of objects from SBim by passing through the localisation functor.

- On morphisms, faithfulness means we can check equality of morphisms in SBim by checking in localisation

Category stuff

- Let $\text{BSBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ -bim full subcat gen. by $BS(w)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for $w \in W$.

$$\text{SBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} := \text{Ker}(\text{BSBim}_{\mathbb{Q}})$$

$$\text{StalBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$
-bim full subcat gen by $\mathbb{Q}_w$ for $w \in W$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{BSBim} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ker}} & \text{SBim} & & \text{stalBim} \\ \downarrow -\otimes \mathbb{Q} & & \downarrow \text{loc} & & \downarrow -\otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ \text{BSBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Ker}} & \text{SBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{StalBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

faithful faithful faithful

- Since we lose gradings in $\text{StalBim}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $[\text{StalBim}_{\mathbb{Q}}]_{\oplus} \simeq \mathbb{Z}[W]$. So

We have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{SBim} & \xrightarrow{\text{ch}} & H(W, S) \\ \downarrow \text{loc} & & \downarrow \text{isom} \\ \text{StalBim}_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathbb{Z}[W] \end{array}$$

"localisation in categorification of specialisation at $v=1$ "

